arXiv:1611.04856v1 [math.NT] 15 Nov 2016

Computing twists of hyperelliptic curves

Davide Lombardo, Elisa Lorenzo García

Abstract

We give an efficient algorithm to compute equations of twists of hyperelliptic curves of arbitrary genus over any separable field (of characteristic different from 2), and we explicitly describe some interesting examples.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**
1.1 Twists and cohomology 2

2 Hyperelliptic curves **3**
2.1 More on Aut(C) 5
2.1.1 Automorphisms of geometrically hyperelliptic curves 7
2.2 Cohomology of conics 7
2.3 Criterion for a twist to have a hyperelliptic model 8

3 Computing equations of twists **8**
3.1 Computing twists of conics 9
3.2 Hilbert's Theorem 90 12
3.3 Completing the proof of Theorem 3.2 15

4 Examples **16**
4.1 Example 1 17
4.2 Example 2 17
4.3 Example 3 18

5 The twists of $y^2 = x^8 - 14x^4 + 1$ **20**

1 Introduction

In this paper we describe an algorithm to compute explicit equations of twists of hyperelliptic curves in full generality. We also give some concrete examples, including the genus-3 hyperelliptic curve $y^2 = x^8 - 14x^4 + 1$, which realizes the largest possible group of automorphisms for a hyperelliptic curve of genus 3.

The study of twists of curves is a very useful tool for understanding some arithmetic problems. For example, it has proved to be extremely helpful for exploring the Sato-Tate conjecture [1, 5, 6], as well as for solving some Diophantine equations [14], or for computing $\mathbb{Q}$-curves realizing certain Galois representations [2].

Let us briefly review previous work concerning the computation of twists of curves. Geometrically, all smooth conics are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$, so (essentially by definition) the twists of a smooth conic C/K are precisely the smooth conics over K. The set of twists of an elliptic

curve is very well understood, at least if one restricts to those automorphisms that fix the origin of the group law (which is usually the arithmetically interesting case; see for example [15, Section X.5]). Furthermore, if E/K is a general genus-1 curve, then there exists an at most quadratic twist of E which acquires a rational point over K, so that the problem is reduced to the analogous one for elliptic curves. For curves of genus 2, we refer to the work of Cardona [3] and for non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 3 to the work of the second author [12]. A general algorithm to compute twists of non-hyperelliptic curves is also due to the second author [10, 11], and therefore only the general hyperelliptic case remains to be treated: thanks to the algorithm presented in this paper, we are now able to compute equations of twists of any curve. We remark that along the way to our main result we also obtain an efficient procedure to compute models of twists of conics.

We briefly describe the organization of the paper: in Section 2 we set up our notation for hyperelliptic curves and recall some well-known facts about them. Section 3 forms the core of the paper, giving the details of our algorithm for the computation of twists. We then give several concrete examples of the use of our algorithm in Section 4, and use them to show that each step of the algorithm is really necessary. Finally, in Section 5 we give a complete parametrization of all the twists of the hyperelliptic curve $y^2 = x^8 - 14x^4 + 1$.

Notation

Throughout this paper k is a perfect field with algebraic closure $\overline{k}$, and μ_n is the set of n-th roots of unity in $\overline{k}$. By ζ_n we mean a fixed primitive n-th root of unity. We denote the absolute Galois group of k by $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. If M is a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_3(k)$, we write $M(x, y, z)$ for the linear map that sends x, y, z respectively to the three entries of the vector $M \cdot (x, y, z)^t$. Here x, y, z can be either variables or elements of k.

By a curve C/k we mean an irreducible, connected, projective variety of dimension 1 defined over a field k. We denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ the group of geometric automorphisms of C, that is, the group of automorphisms of $C \times_k \overline{k}$.

Acknowledgment

We thank Christophe Ritzenthaler for useful discussions and for pointing out some of the references. Part of this work was carried out during a visit of the first author to Université de Rennes 1, and we are grateful to this institution for its hospitality and for the ideal working conditions.

1.1 Twists and cohomology

We recall the notion of twist of a curve and its well-known relationship with a certain Galois cohomology set.

Definition 1.1. *Let C/k be a smooth projective curve. A twist of C/k is the data of a smooth projective curve C'/k together with a $\overline{k}$-isomorphism $\varphi : C' \to C$. We usually identify two twists if there exist $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $\varphi_1 \alpha \varphi_2^{-1}$ is defined over k.*

Theorem 1.2 ([15], Chap. 10., Theorem 2.2)**.** *The set of twists of C/k is in bijection with the pointed cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1\left(\mathrm{Gal}\left(\overline{k}/k\right), \mathrm{Aut}(C)\right)$. The bijection is given explicitly as follows:*

- *let C'/k be a twist of C/k with associated isomorphism $\varphi : C' \to C$. The corresponding cohomology class is that of the cocycle*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \xi : & \mathrm{Gal}\left(\overline{k}/k\right) & \to & \mathrm{Aut}(C) \\ & \sigma & \mapsto & \varphi \circ^{\sigma} \varphi^{-1}. \end{array}$$

- *let* $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^1\left(\mathrm{Gal}\left(\overline{k}/k\right), \mathrm{Aut}(C)\right)$. *We define an action of* $\mathrm{Gal}\left(\overline{k}/k\right)$ *on* $\overline{k}(C)$ *extending by linearity the prescription*

$$\sigma : af \mapsto \sigma(a) \cdot \xi(\sigma)(f) \quad \forall a \in \overline{k}, \forall f \in k(C).$$

  Given ξ*, we obtain a twist* C' *as follows: the curve* C' *is the unique smooth projective* k*-curve whose function field is* $\overline{k}(C)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)}$*, the field of invariants for the action just defined. The* $\overline{k}$*-isomorphism* $\varphi : C' \to C$ *comes from the isomorphism* $\overline{k}(C') \cong \overline{k}(C)$ *induced by the natural inclusion* $k(C') \hookrightarrow \overline{k}(C)$*.*

We say that a $\overline{k}$*-isomorphism* $\varphi : C' \to C$ *realizes the cocycle* $\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ *if* $\varphi \circ^{\sigma} \varphi^{-1} = \xi(\sigma)$ *for all* $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$*.*

Remark 1.3. In general, if $\varphi : C' \to C$ is an isomorphism realizing a cocycle ξ, any other such isomorphism is given by $\alpha\varphi\varphi_0 : C'' \to C$ where $\varphi_0 : C'' \to C'$ is an isomorphism defined over the ground field k and α is an automorphism of C.

2 Hyperelliptic curves

By a hyperelliptic curve over a field k we mean a smooth projective curve C over k, of positive genus g, and such that the canonical morphism maps C to a genus-0 curve. Notice that some authors might call such curves geometrically hyperelliptic, reserving the term hyperelliptic for curves given by a hyperelliptic model over k (see Equation (1) below). We also remark that we shall often use affine models of (Zariski-open subsets of) C: given an affine curve, there is precisely one smooth projective curve with the same function field, so we can make this identification without loss of generality (as our base field is perfect, we need not worry about the distinction between smooth and regular).

If C is a hyperelliptic curve, one knows that the canonical morphism is 2-to-1, and C admits an involution $\iota : C \to C$ (the hyperelliptic involution) that preserves its fibers. When the genus-0 quotient $C/\langle\iota\rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ over k (and not just over $\overline{k}$), one sees that C admits a k-model of the form

$$y^2 = f(x), \tag{1}$$

where $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $2g+1$ or $2g+2$. A model as in (1) will be called a hyperelliptic model over k. Notice that not all hyperelliptic curves admit a hyperelliptic model over k; however, a result of Mestre shows that this is the case for all hyperelliptic curves of even genus:

Lemma 2.1. *([13, §2.1]) Let* C/k *be a hyperelliptic curve (where* $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$*). If the genus of* C *is even, then it admits a hyperelliptic model defined over* k*.*

Furthermore, it is well-known that if the genus g is at least 2, then all the isomorphisms from a curve C_1 to a curve C_2, both given by hyperelliptic models as in (1), are of the form

$$(x, y) \mapsto \left(\frac{\alpha x + \beta}{\gamma x + \delta}, y\frac{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma}{(\gamma x + \delta)^{g+1}}\right)$$

for some α, β, γ, $\delta \in \bar{k}$. In the special case $C_1 = C_2$, the only matrices acting trivially are of the form $\lambda \cdot \mathrm{Id}$ where $\lambda^{g-1} = 1$, so there is a natural embedding

$$\mathrm{Aut}(C) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{k})/\{\mu_{g-1}(\overline{k})\}. \tag{2}$$

Remark 2.2. Over finite fields, the field of moduli of any hyperelliptic curve is a field of definition and moreover of hyperelliptic definition [8, 9]. In characteristic zero, for curves of genus 1 and 2 we know that the field of moduli is always a field of definition [8, 9] and we find the first example for which this not happens in genus 3 [7]. In general, in the even genus case any field of definition is a field of hyperelliptic definition, but this statement fails if the genus is odd (there are already counterexamples in genus 3).

Even when a hyperelliptic model is not available (necessarily in the odd genus case), the fact that the quotient $C/\langle\iota\rangle$ is of genus 0 implies the existence of a specific kind of model, which we now describe:

Lemma 2.3. *Let C/k be a hyperelliptic curve of odd genus g. There exists a k-rational model*

$$C : \begin{cases} t^2 = f(x,y,z) \\ z^2 = ax^2 + by^2 \end{cases}, \tag{3}$$

where $f \in k[x,y,z]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $g+1$. Assume furthermore that $g \geq 3$: then C has a hyperelliptic model over k if and only if the quaternion algebra $\left(\frac{a,b}{k}\right)$ is trivial.

Proof. Let ι be the hyperelliptic involution of C. By definition of a hyperelliptic curve, the canonical morphism gives a 2-to-1 map $C \to C/\langle\iota\rangle$. As $C/\langle\iota\rangle$ has genus 0, it will be k-isomorphic to a certain quadric $ax^2 + by^2 = z^2$ for some $a, b \in k$. The quotient map has degree 2, so C is given by a model

$$C : \begin{cases} t^2 = f(x,y,z) \\ z^2 = ax^2 + by^2 \end{cases}.$$

Since C has genus g, the map $C \to C/\langle\iota\rangle$ ramifies at $2g+2 = 2 \cdot \deg(f)$ points, and f must have degree $g+1$. This establishes the first part of the lemma.

As for the second part, recall that a curve of genus ≥ 2 can map to at most one genus-0 curve (up to isomorphism). If C/k admits a hyperelliptic model over k, then by definition it maps to $\mathbb{P}^1_k$, and since it also maps to $z^2 = ax^2 + by^2$ this latter quadric must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ over k, which happens precisely when the quaternion algebra $\left(\frac{a,b}{k}\right)$ is trivial. Conversely, suppose that the quaternion algebra is trivial: then $z^2 = ax^2 + by^2$ has a rational point, so we can find three new variables X, Y, Z which are (invertible) linear functions of x, y, z and satisfy $Z^2 = XY$. In terms of these new variables, C is given by a model

$$\begin{cases} t^2 = F(X,Y,Z) \\ Z^2 = XY \end{cases}.$$

Restricting to the affine chart $Z = 1$ and replacing Y by $1/X$ in the first equation we find the model

$$t^2 = F(X, 1/X, 1);$$

multiplying by X^{g+1} and setting $w := tX^{(g+1)/2}$ we finally get the hyperelliptic model

$$w^2 = t^2 X^{g+1} = X^{g+1} F(X, 1/X, 1) =: H(X).$$

□

In the course of the proof of Lemma 2.3 we have seen how to construct a hyperelliptic model for a (odd genus) curve given by a model as in (3). The following proposition shows the inverse transformation.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $C : y^2 = f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{2g+2} a_n x^n$, with a_{2g+2} not necessarily nonzero, be a hyperelliptic genus g curve. If g is odd, C admits the following model of the form* (3)*:*

$$\begin{cases} t^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{g+1} a_n Y^{g+1-n} Z^n + \sum_{n=g+2}^{2g+2} a_n X^{n-g-1} Z^{2g+2-n} \\ Z^2 = XY \end{cases}.$$

If the genus g is even, up to a birational transformation we find the model

$$\begin{cases} t^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{g+1} a_n Y^{g+2-n} Z^n + \sum_{n=g+2}^{2g+2} a_n X^{n-g-2} Z^{2g+4-n} \\ Z^2 = XY \end{cases}.$$

Finally, if the characteristic of k is different from 2 every hyperelliptic curve admits a model of the form (3)*.*

Proof. For the odd genus case, we divide the equation of C by x^{g+1} and rewrite it as $(y/x^{(g+1)/2})^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{2g+2} a_n x^{n-g-1}$. Introducing new variables $u := x, v := 1/x$ we find

$$\begin{cases} (yv^{(g+1)/2})^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{2g+2} a_n u^n v^{g+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{g+1} a_n v^{g+1-n} + \sum_{n=g+2}^{2g+2} a_n u^{n-g-1} \\ uv = 1 \end{cases};$$

finally we homogenize by setting $u = X/Z, v = Y/Z$ and $yv^{(g+1)/2} = t/Z^{(g+1)/2}$.

If the genus g is even, we proceed in the same way: dividing first by x^{g+2}, introducing $u := x$ and $v := 1/x$ and homogenizing. For the final statement, we have already proved this if g is odd. If g is even, Mestre's Lemma 2.1 shows that there is a hyperelliptic model over k, so we can apply the above procedure to find a model as in (3). □

Remark 2.5. When the genus is even, the model given by the previous proposition has a singular point. Writing $C' : \begin{cases} t^2 = F(X,Y,Z) \\ XY = Z^2 \end{cases}$ we see that the natural map $C' \to \{XY - Z^2\}$ ramifies precisely at the points where $t = 0$ and $F(X,Y,Z) = XY - Z^2 = 0$. If these were $2 \deg F$ distinct points, by Riemann-Hurwitz the genus of C would be $g + 1$, which we know not to be the case. Hence one (and precisely one) of them is a double point, which gives a singular point in this model; in particular C' is not isomorphic, but just birational, to the curve C we started with. As already remarked, however, this is not a problem, because we are only concerned with the function field of C and of its twists, and this is a birational invariant.

2.1 More on $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$

Let $C : y^2 = f(x)$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus g. We have seen that there is a natural embedding

$$\iota_0 : \mathrm{Aut}(C) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{k})/\{\mu_{g-1}(\overline{k})\},$$

see Equation (2). We will prove that we can do better, namely produce an embedding

$$\iota_1 : \mathrm{Aut}(C) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{k})/\{\mu_e(\overline{k})\}, \tag{4}$$

where $e = e_2 e_3$ and $e_p = \gcd(p, \#\mathrm{Aut}(C))^{\mathrm{val}_p(g-1)}$.

Remark 2.6. If $e = 1$ (i.e. if $g \equiv 0, 2 \pmod 6$), all the twists of C can be readily computed by applying Hilbert's Theorem 90, see Section 3.2 and Remark 3.10.

The following proposition is instrumental in establishing the existence of (4):

Proposition 2.7. *Let C/k be a hyperelliptic curve of genus g and let p be a prime dividing* $\gcd(\#\operatorname{Aut}(C), g-1)$. *Then p is either* 2 *or* 3.

Proof. Let $a \in \operatorname{Aut}(C)$ be an element of order p. By the Riemann-Hurwitz Theorem applied to the quotient $C \to C/\langle a\rangle$, and calling γ the genus of $C/\langle a\rangle$, we get

$$2(g-1) = 2p(\gamma-1) + v(p-1), \tag{5}$$

where v is the number of ramification points, that is, the number of points fixed by a. If a is neither the hyperelliptic involution (of order 2) nor the identity, the number v does not exceed 4, since the equation $\frac{\alpha x+\beta}{\gamma x+\delta} = x$ has at most 2 solutions, which correspond to at most 4 points on $y^2 = f(x)$ (for the hyperelliptic involution or the identity we have $\alpha = \delta$ and $\beta = \gamma = 0$, which obviously gives more solutions). Considering equation (5) modulo p we now see that if $p \neq 2, 3$ (so that $p \nmid v$) we have $p \nmid g-1$. □

We can now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8. *Let C/k be a hyperelliptic curve of genus g. There exists an embedding*

$$\iota_1 : \operatorname{Aut}(C) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{k})/\{\mu_e(\overline{k})\},$$

where $e = e_2 e_3$ and $e_p = \gcd(p, \#\operatorname{Aut}(C))^{\mathrm{val}_p(g-1)}$.

Proof. The extension

$$1 \to \frac{\mu_{g-1}(\overline{k})}{\mu_e(\overline{k})} \to \frac{\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})}{\mu_e(\overline{k})} \to \frac{\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})}{\mu_{g-1}(\overline{k})} \to 1$$

defines a class $u \in \mathrm{H}^2\left(\frac{\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})}{\mu_{g-1}}, \frac{\mu_{g-1}}{\mu_e}\right)$. Recall that we have an embedding $\iota_0 : \operatorname{Aut}(C) \hookrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})}{\mu_{g-1}(\overline{k})}$. As it is well-known, this embedding lifts to a homomorphism $\iota_1 : \operatorname{Aut}(C) \to \frac{\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})}{\mu_e(\overline{k})}$ if and only if $\iota_0^*(u)$ is trivial in $\mathrm{H}^2\left(\operatorname{Aut}(C), \frac{\mu_{g-1}(\overline{k})}{\mu_e(\overline{k})}\right)$; furthermore, if this lift exists, it is clearly injective. Now observe that the orders of the groups $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ and $\frac{\mu_{g-1}(\overline{k})}{\mu_e(\overline{k})}$ are relatively prime thanks to Proposition 2.7, so the cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^2\left(\operatorname{Aut}(C), \frac{\mu_{g-1}(\overline{k})}{\mu_e(\overline{k})}\right)$ is trivial. It follows that $\iota_0^*(u) = 0$, which implies the existence of ι_1. □

With an eye to the algorithmic aspects of the problem, we now discuss how to explicitly construct (4). Let α be an element of $\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ of order m. Fix any lift of $\iota_0(\alpha)$ to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})$, call it $\widetilde{\iota_0(\alpha)}$, and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\widetilde{\iota_0(\alpha)}^m = \zeta_{g-1}^a \operatorname{Id}$. The lift $\iota_1(\alpha)$ is the class in $\frac{\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})}{\mu_e(\overline{k})}$ of a matrix of the form $\zeta_{g-1}^b \cdot \widetilde{\iota_0(\alpha)}$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and satisfies $[\operatorname{Id}] = \iota_1(\alpha)^m = \left[\zeta_{g-1}^{a+mb} \operatorname{Id}\right]$, so that ζ_{g-1}^{a+mb} must be an e-th root of unity. Furthermore, since $\iota_1(\alpha)$ is only defined up to an e-th root of unity, we only need to determine $b \pmod{\frac{g-1}{e}}$. Explicitly, the condition that ζ_{g-1}^{a+mb} belongs to $\mu_e(\overline{k})$ reads $a + mb \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{g-1}{e}}$; since $m \mid \#\operatorname{Aut}(C)$ and $(\#\operatorname{Aut}(C), \frac{g-1}{e}) = 1$, the number m is invertible modulo $\frac{g-1}{e}$, so there is a unique choice of $b \pmod{\frac{g-1}{e}}$ that works. This gives us a way of constructing $\iota_1(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(C)$.

Remark 2.9. Notice that it is not a priori clear that the recipe given in the previous paragraph produces a group embedding $\operatorname{Aut}(C) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})/\mu_e(\overline{k})$, because it is not obvious that this construction gives a group homomorphism. However, since at least one embedding ι_1 exists by Theorem 2.8, and since we have found that for each $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(C)$ there is only one possibility for $\iota_1(\alpha)$, it follows that our construction does indeed produce a group homomorphism.

Remark 2.10. One cannot improve the power of 2 appearing in e, as we now show. Let e be an integer such that $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ embeds in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})/\mu_e$. The image under ι_0 of the hyperelliptic involution is the class of $\begin{pmatrix}\zeta_{2g-2} & 0\\ 0 & \zeta_{2g-2}\end{pmatrix}$, so all its lifts to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})$ are of the form $\zeta_{g-1}^b\zeta_{2g-2}\,\mathrm{Id}$. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ embeds in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})/\mu_e$, the class of $\zeta_{g-1}^b\zeta_{2g-2}\,\mathrm{Id} = \zeta_{2g-2}^{2b+1}\,\mathrm{Id}$ squares to the identity in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})/\mu_e$, so ζ_{g-1}^{2b+1} belongs to μ_e. Now the 2-part of the order of ζ_{g-1}^{2b+1} is 2^{e_2}, so 2^{e_2} divides e as claimed. As for the power of 3, one can repeat the same argument with the automorphism $\alpha(x,y) = (\zeta_3 x, y)$ of the curves $C_{k,3} : y^2 = x^{6k+3} + 1$ (of genus $3k+1$), for which the lifts of $\iota_0(\alpha)$ are of the form $\begin{pmatrix}\zeta_3\zeta_{3g-3}\zeta_{g-1}^b & 0\\ 0 & \zeta_{3g-3}\zeta_{g-1}^b\end{pmatrix}$.

2.1.1 Automorphisms of geometrically hyperelliptic curves

Let

$$C : \begin{cases} t^2 = f(x,y,z) \\ z^2 = ax^2 + by^2 \end{cases}$$

be a hyperelliptic curve of genus g over a field k. We propose to investigate the structure of the k- and $\overline{k}$-automorphisms of C. First of all, notice that any automorphism of C induces an automorphism of $C/\langle\iota\rangle =: \mathcal{L}$. Now $\mathcal{L}$ can be embedded in $\mathbb{P}^2$, and every automorphism of $\mathcal{L}$ lifts to an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2$ (one way to see this is that both the group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{L}$ and the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^2$ that fix a conic are of dimension 3). Hence for every automorphism φ of C we have a corresponding element of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(k) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2_k)$, and in particular on the (homogeneous) coordinates $[x,y,z]$ the action of φ is given by

$$[x,y,z] \mapsto [M_{11}x + M_{12}y + M_{13}z, M_{21}x + M_{22}y + M_{23}z, M_{31}x + M_{32}y + M_{33}z]$$

for some $M = (M_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le 3} \in \mathrm{GL}_3(k)$. We also have $\iota^*\varphi(t) = -\varphi(t)$, so (since ι acts trivially on x,y,z and sends t to $-t$) we must have $\varphi(t) = tg(x,y,z)$ for some $g(x,y,z)$. Since the image of $[x,y,z,t]$ must still lie on C we easily get the condition $\varphi(t) = et$ for some $e \in k^\times$.

2.2 Cohomology of conics

Given a conic Q over a number field k, there exists a (non unique) quadratic extension k' of k over which Q becomes isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. The next lemma shows that given two conics Q and Q' over the same number field k there exists a quadratic extension of k over which Q, Q' are both isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let k be a number field and let Q be a smooth conic over k. The cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k), \mathrm{Aut}(Q))$ is 2-torsion. Moreover, given two conics Q, Q' over k, there exists a quadratic extension K of k such that $Q \cong_K Q' \cong_K \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. Let Q'/k be a twist of Q, and let $\eta \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k), \mathrm{Aut}(Q))$ be the corresponding cohomology class. We claim that there exists a quadratic extension k' of k such that Q and Q' become isomorphic over k'; in terms of cohomology classes, this amounts to saying that the restriction of η to k' is trivial. Assuming this, we have

$$2\eta = \mathrm{Cores}_{k'/k}\,\mathrm{Res}_{k/k'}(\eta) = \mathrm{Cores}_{k'/k}(0) = 0,$$

which proves our claim. To prove the existence of k' we show something stronger, namely the second statement in the lemma: there exists a quadratic extension of k over which Q, Q' both

become isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Consider the exact sequence given by the Brauer-Hasse-Noether theorem,

$$0 \to \mathrm{Br}(k)[2] \to \bigoplus_{v \in \Omega_k} \mathrm{Br}(k_v)[2] \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to 0,$$

where Ω_k is the set of places of k. If K is any extension of k, we have an analogous exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Br}(K)[2] \to \bigoplus_{w \in \Omega_K} \mathrm{Br}(K_w)[2] \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to 0, \tag{6}$$

where for each place w of K lying above a place v of k the restriction map $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathrm{Br}(k_v)[2] \to \mathrm{Br}(K_w) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is multiplication by the local degree of w over v. The conics Q, Q' represent classes c_1, c_2 in $\mathrm{Br}(k)[2]$. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ be the (finite) list of places v for which either $c_1 \in \mathrm{Br}(k_v)$ or $c_2 \in \mathrm{Br}(k_v)[2]$ is nontrivial. Letting K be a quadratic extension of k in which all the places $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ are inert, we have that for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ there is a unique place of K lying over v_i, and the local degree is 2. It is then clear that the image of $\mathrm{Res}_{k/K}(c_i)$ in $\mathrm{Br}(K_w)$ is zero for all places w of K. By the exactness of (6), this implies that $\mathrm{Res}_{k/K}(c_i) = 0$, and it follows that $Q \cong_K Q' \cong_K \mathbb{P}^1$. □

2.3 Criterion for a twist to have a hyperelliptic model

Let C be a hyperelliptic curve given by

$$\begin{cases} t^2 = f(x, y, z) \\ z^2 = ax^2 + by^2 \end{cases}.$$

Let Q be the conic $z^2 = ax^2 + by^2$, and let η be the class in $\mathrm{H}^1(G_k, \mathrm{Aut}(Q))$ corresponding to $\mathbb{P}^1$ (notice that every conic is a twist of $\mathbb{P}^1$). Consider a cocycle $\xi : G_k \to \mathrm{Aut}(C)$. The twisted curve C' admits a hyperelliptic model over k if and only if the image of ξ in $\mathrm{H}^1(G_k, \mathrm{Aut}(Q))$ is η. In other words, if the twist C' is given by

$$\begin{cases} t^2 = f'(x, y, z) \\ z^2 = a'x^2 + b'y^2 \end{cases},$$

it has a hyperelliptic model if and only if the conic $Q' : z^2 = a'x^2 + b'y^2$ is k-isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$, i.e., if has a rational point. Whether this condition hold can be checked via local-global principle (Hasse-Minkowski Theorem): Q' has a k-rational points if and only if $Q'(k_v)$ is nonempty for all places v of k, where k_v denotes the completion at v. As it is well-known, this condition is also equivalent to the existence of k_v-points for v ranging over the finite set of archimedean places and of places dividing the discriminant of Q': notice that the latter set are is a subset of the places that either divide the discriminant of Q or ramify in the field of definition of the twist.

3 Computing equations of twists

In this section we describe our algorithm to compute twists of hyperelliptic curves and prove its correctness. In what follows k is a field of characteristic different from 2.

Algorithm 3.1. (For computing twists of hyperelliptic curves)

Input: A hyperelliptic curve C/k and a cocycle $\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ factoring through a finite extension L/k.
Output: A curve C'/k and a $\overline{k}$-isomorphism $\phi : C' \to C$ such that $\xi(\sigma) = \phi \circ^\sigma \phi^{-1}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$.

1. Find a model of a curve birational to C of the form (3) and let $Q : z^2 = ax^2 + by^2$.
2. Define a matrix $B \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$ giving an isomorphism $Q \to \{z^2 = xy\}$ by the formula
$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{a} & \sqrt{-b} & 0 \\ \sqrt{a} & -\sqrt{-b} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
3. Project ξ into $Z^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k), \mathrm{Aut}(Q))$.
4. Obtain a cocycle $\overline{\xi} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$ applying Proposition 3.8 with B.
5. Use Hilbert's theorem 90 to compute a matrix $M \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$ such that $\overline{\xi}(\sigma) = M^\sigma M^{-1}$.
6. Use Hilbert's theorem 90 to compute a $v \in k^\times$ as in Lemma 3.15.
7. Define
$$C_0 : \begin{cases} t^2 = g(x,y,z) := \frac{1}{[L:k]} \mathrm{tr}_{L/k}\left(vf(M(x,y,z))\right) \\ 0 = Q'(x,y,z) = Q(M(x,y,z)) \end{cases}.$$
8. Compute e as in Lemma 3.18. The twist of C by ξ is birational to
$$C' : \begin{cases} et^2 = g(x,y,z) \\ 0 = Q'(x,y,z) \end{cases}.$$
The map $(x,y,z,t) \mapsto (M(x,y,z), \sqrt{e/v}t)$ is an isomorphism between C' and the model (3), and it realizes ξ.

Theorem 3.2. *Algorithm 3.1 is correct.*

Remark 3.3. When the genus is odd, the birational equivalences mentioned in the theorem are in fact isomorphisms.

The proof of this theorem will occupy sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3; as we shall see, the crucial part of the algorithm consists in computing the twist of the conic $C/\langle\iota\rangle$, so we start in the next section by discussing the cohomology of conics.

3.1 Computing twists of conics

We start with the following general result, which for simplicity we state only in the case of number fields; notice that we shall not use this theorem directly, but it will serve as motivation for our approach to computing twists.

Theorem 3.4. *Let Q be a smooth genus-0 curve over a field k, embedded as a conic section in $\mathbb{P}^2_k$. There is an injective map $\mathrm{Aut}(Q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_3(\overline{k})$. Furthermore, any cocycle*

$\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to \mathrm{Aut}(Q) \to \mathrm{PGL}_3(\overline{k})$ *is a coboundary, hence it lifts to a cocycle* $\tilde{\xi} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$.

Proof. The conic Q is embedded in $\mathbb{P}^2$ via the linear series corresponding to $O(2)$. Any automorphism of Q pulls $O(2)$ back to itself (since there is only one equivalence class of divisors of degree 2), hence it induces an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{H}^0(Q, O(2)) = \mathbb{P}^2$ which, upon restriction to Q, is the automorphism we started with. This proves the first statement.

Next we consider the exact sequence of pointed sets

$$1 = \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k), \mathrm{SL}_3(\overline{k})) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k), \mathrm{PGL}_3(\overline{k})) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{H}^2(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k), \mu_3) \tag{7}$$

associated with the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_3 \to \mathrm{SL}_3(\overline{k}) \to \mathrm{PGL}_3(\overline{k}) \to 1;$$

notice that the long exact sequence in (non-abelian) cohomology can be extended to H^2 because μ_3 is central in $\mathrm{SL}_3(\overline{k})$. Now observe that (thanks to (7)) we know that the class $[\xi]$ of ξ in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k), \mathrm{PGL}_3(\overline{k}))$ is trivial if and only if $\delta([\xi]) = 0$. On the other hand, the cocycle ξ factors by assumption through $\mathrm{Aut}_{\overline{k}}(Q) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{k})$, so it corresponds to a certain conic over k, and (as a consequence of Lemma 2.11) for any pair of conics over k there is a quadratic extension k'/k over which they become isomorphic. Thus, upon restriction to k', the cocycle ξ represents the trivial class in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k'), \mathrm{Aut}(Q))$, hence a fortiori in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k'), \mathrm{PGL}_3(\overline{k}))$. This implies that $\delta([\mathrm{Res}_{k/k'}(\xi)]) = 0$, hence also that $\mathrm{Cores}_{k'/k}(\delta([\mathrm{Res}_{k/k'}(\xi)])) = 0$. Since the connecting homomorphism commutes with restriction (by definition), we obtain

$$0 = \mathrm{Cores}_{k'/k}(\delta([\mathrm{Res}_{k/k'}(\xi)])) = \mathrm{Cores}_{k'/k}\,\mathrm{Res}_{k/k'}(\delta([\xi])) = [k' : k]\delta([\xi]) = 2\delta([\xi]).$$

Since $\delta([\xi]) \in \mathrm{H}^2(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k), \mu_3)$ is 3-torsion, this implies $\delta([\xi]) = 0$, hence ξ is a coboundary, say $\xi(\sigma) = [M] \cdot^\sigma [M]^{-1}$ for some $M \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$. Then clearly $\tilde{\xi}(\sigma) := M \cdot^\sigma M^{-1}$ is a lift of ξ to $\mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$. □

The previous theorem does not imply, a priori, that $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ injects into $\mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$ in a way that is compatible with the action of Galois, but only that any given cocycle can be lifted. However, as a consequence of the next propositions we will prove that indeed such embedding exists and we will explicitly describe it. We also remark that the construction of the following proposition does not depend on k being a number field.

Proposition 3.5. *The map* $\psi :\ \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \simeq \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{k}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$ *which sends*

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}\right] \mapsto \frac{1}{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & 2\alpha\beta \\ \gamma^2 & \delta^2 & 2\gamma\delta \\ \alpha\gamma & \beta\delta & \beta\gamma + \alpha\delta \end{pmatrix}$$

is an embedding of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$*-modules. Furthermore, by composing with the natural projection* $\mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k}) \to \mathrm{PGL}_3(\overline{k})$*, it induces an isomorphism of* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \simeq \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{k})$ *with the subgroup of* $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\overline{k}) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ *stabilizing the conic* $Q_0 : z^2 = xy$.

Proof. The map $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k}) \to \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & 2\alpha\beta \\ \gamma^2 & \delta^2 & 2\gamma\delta \\ \alpha\gamma & \beta\delta & \beta\gamma + \alpha\delta \end{pmatrix}$$

is Galois-equivariant since it is given by rational functions. Its kernel is the set of multiples of the identity, which is also a Galois submodule. Hence ψ descends to an injective, Galois-equivariant map $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{k}) \to \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$. Finally, to check that $\psi(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1))$ is indeed $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_0)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\overline{k})$, we notice that $\pi\psi(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)) = \phi \cdot \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{k}) \cdot \phi^{-1}$, where $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \to Q_0$ is the isomorphism $[a : b] \mapsto [a^2 : b^2 : ab]$.

□

Definition 3.6. *We let χ denote the isomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_0) \to \mathrm{PGL}_2(\overline{k})$ induced by the inverse of the map ψ constructed above.*

Remark 3.7. By Proposition 3.5, the composition $\chi\psi$ gives a Galois-equivariant embedding $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_0) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$. Explicitly, we have

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & 2\alpha\beta \\ \gamma^2 & \delta^2 & 2\gamma\delta \\ \alpha\gamma & \beta\delta & \beta\gamma+\alpha\delta \end{pmatrix}\right] \mapsto \frac{1}{\alpha\delta-\beta\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & 2\alpha\beta \\ \gamma^2 & \delta^2 & 2\gamma\delta \\ \alpha\gamma & \beta\delta & \beta\gamma+\alpha\delta \end{pmatrix},$$

which can be computed without determining α, β, γ and δ: it suffices to send

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}\right] \mapsto \lambda \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\alpha\gamma}{\alpha^2\gamma\delta-\alpha\beta\gamma^2} = \dfrac{2a_{31}}{a_{11}a_{23}-a_{13}a_{21}} \text{ if } a_{31} \neq 0 \\ \dfrac{\beta\delta}{\alpha\beta\delta^2-\beta^2\gamma\delta} = \dfrac{2a_{32}}{a_{22}a_{13}-a_{23}a_{12}} \text{ if } a_{32} \neq 0 \\ \dfrac{\alpha\delta+\beta\gamma}{\alpha^2\delta^2-\beta^2\gamma^2} = \dfrac{a_{33}}{a_{11}a_{22}-a_{12}a_{21}} \text{ if } a_{33} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

and at least one of the three cases holds since the matrix (a_{ij}) is invertible.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a conic and let $B \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$ define an isomorphism $B : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ which maps $Q_0 \to Q$, where as above Q_0 is the conic $z^2 = xy$. Denote by ι_B the conjugation-by-B automorphism of $\mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$, that is, $\begin{array}{rccc} \iota_B : & \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k}) & \to & \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k}) \\ & M & \mapsto & B^{-1} \cdot M \cdot B \end{array}$. The following composition of maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \mathrm{Aut}(Q) & \xrightarrow[\iota_B]{\sim} & \mathrm{Aut}(Q_0) & \xrightarrow[\chi]{\sim} & \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) & \xhookrightarrow[\psi]{} & \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k}) & \xrightarrow[\iota_B^{-1}]{\sim} & \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k}) \\ [M] & \longmapsto & [\iota_B(M)] & \longmapsto & \chi([\iota_B(M)]) & \longmapsto & \psi(\chi([\iota_B(M)])) & \longmapsto & \iota_B^{-1}(\psi(\chi([\iota_B(M)])))) \end{array}$$

is equivariant with respect to the natural action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$; here we regard $\mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2) \simeq \mathrm{PGL}_3(\overline{k})$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, we can write the matrix M as BNB^{-1} for some

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \beta^2 & 2\alpha\beta \\ \gamma^2 & \delta^2 & 2\gamma\delta \\ \alpha\gamma & \beta\delta & \beta\gamma+\alpha\delta \end{pmatrix},$$

and we have to prove that

$$B \cdot \psi(\chi(B^{-1} \cdot^{\sigma} (BNB^{-1}) \cdot B)) \cdot B^{-1} =^{\sigma} (B \cdot \psi(\chi(B^{-1} \cdot BNB^{-1} \cdot B)) \cdot B^{-1}).$$

We have

$$^{\sigma}(B\cdot\psi(\chi(B^{-1}\cdot BNB^{-1}\cdot B))\cdot B^{-1}) =^{\sigma} (B\cdot\psi(\chi(N))\cdot B^{-1}) =^{\sigma} B\cdot\psi(\chi(^{\sigma}N))^{\sigma}B^{-1},$$

and we conclude because, setting $\xi(\sigma) := B^{-1}\cdot{}^{\sigma}B \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(\sigma)^{-1}\psi(\chi(\xi(\sigma)\,^{\sigma}N\xi(\sigma)^{-1}))\xi(\sigma) &= \xi(\sigma)^{-1}\psi(\chi(\xi(\sigma)))\psi(\chi(^{\sigma}N))\psi(\chi(\xi(\sigma)^{-1}))\xi(\sigma)\\ &= \psi(\chi(^{\sigma}N))\end{aligned}$$

since $\xi(\sigma)^{-1}\psi(\chi(\xi(\sigma)))$ is a multiple of the identity. □

Remark 3.9. The matrices A in the image of $\chi\psi$ do not just preserve the conic Q_0, but also the precise form of its equation: that is, one has $(Z^2-XY)(A(X,Y,Z)) = Z^2-XY$. To see this, notice that since every such A induces an automorphism of Q_0 we must have $(Z^2-XY)(A(X,Y,Z)) = \alpha(Z^2-XY)$ for some α, and we just need to show that α is 1. Thus it suffices to compute the coefficient of Z^2 in $(Z^2-XY)(A(X,Y,Z))$, which (continuing with the notation of Remark 3.7) is $(\lambda a_{33})^2-\lambda^2 a_{13}a_{23}$. Rewriting this expression in terms of $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ we find

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{(\alpha\delta-\beta\gamma)^2}((\beta\gamma+\alpha\delta)^2-(2\alpha\beta)(2\gamma\delta)) = \frac{(\alpha\delta-\beta\gamma)^2}{(\alpha\delta-\beta\gamma)^2} = 1.$$

Likewise, suppose that the matrix B in Proposition 3.8 is chosen so as to satisfy the condition $(Z^2-XY)(B(X,Y,Z)) = Z^2-(aX^2+bY^2)$, and notice that the matrix given in Algorithm 3.1 has this property. Then the matrices we obtain from an application of Proposition 3.8 do not just preserve Q, but they also preserve its equation.

3.2 Hilbert's Theorem 90

Hilbert's Theorem 90 is the statement that, for every integer $n \geq 1$ and for every Galois extension of fields L/k, the first cohomology set $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(L/k),\mathrm{GL}_n(L))$ is trivial. Thus, given a cocycle $\overline{\xi}:\mathrm{Gal}(L/k)\to\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$, there exists a matrix $M\in\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ such that $\overline{\xi}(\sigma) = M\cdot{}^{\sigma}M^{-1}$. Moreover, this matrix M can be explicitly computed, for instance by choosing a sufficiently generic $M_0\in\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ and setting

$$M := \sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(L/k)} \overline{\xi}(\sigma)\cdot{}^{\sigma}M_0. \tag{8}$$

Another approach (used in Section 3 in [10]) is choosing the columns of the matrix M to be a k-basis of the k-vector subspace of L^n fixed by the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$ given by

$$\sigma:\ v\mapsto \overline{\xi}(\sigma)\cdot{}^{\sigma}v.$$

Remark 3.10. Before continuing with the description of our algorithm, we consider a special case in which to compute twists of hyperelliptic curves it is enough to apply Hilbert's theorem 90 to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})$. Suppose that we start with a curve C given by a hyperelliptic model as in (1). As we saw in Section 2.1.1, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ is naturally a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})/\{\mu_{g-1}\}$. Suppose that the cocycle $\xi:\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)\to\mathrm{Aut}(C)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})/\{\mu_{g-1}\}$ we want to twist by lifts to a cocycle $\overline{\xi}:\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)\to\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})$. By Hilbert's theorem 90, there is a matrix $M := \begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix}\in\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{k})$ such that $\overline{\xi}(\sigma) = M\cdot^{\sigma}M^{-1}$ for all $\sigma\in G_k$. One can check that the curve $C':\ y^2 = \frac{1}{(ad-bc)^2}(cx+d)^{2g+2}f\left(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}\right)$ has k rational coefficients, and it is easy to see that it is the k-twist of C corresponding to ξ. Indeed, the map

$$\phi:(x,y)\mapsto\left(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d},\frac{(ad-bc)}{(cx+d)^{g+1}}y\right)$$

gives an isomorphism $C' \to C$ that realizes the twist corresponding to ξ: this follows from the fact that ϕ is obtained by applying the (invertible) matrix M, so that $\phi \circ {}^{\sigma}\phi^{-1}$ is the isomorphism corresponding to $M \cdot {}^{\sigma}M^{-1} = \overline{\xi}(\sigma)$. Notice in particular that C' admits a hyperelliptic model over k.

We now go back to the description of our general algorithm. We work with the specific cocycle $\overline{\xi} : G_k \to \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$ defined in step 4 of Algorithm 3.1. We shall need the properties of this cocycle described in Remark 3.9. Also notice that from now on we work with the model (3), replacing C with a curve birational to it if this is necessary (only in the even genus case).

Lemma 3.11. *Let $M \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{k})$ be such that $\overline{\xi}(\sigma) = M \cdot {}^{\sigma}M^{-1}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. The polynomial $Q(M(x,y,z))$ has coefficients in k.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $Q(M(x,y,z))$ is stable under the action of every $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. To see this, we compute

$$ {}^{\sigma}(Q(M(x,y,z))) = Q({}^{\sigma}M(x,y,z)) = Q({}^{\sigma}M M^{-1} M(x,y,z)) = Q(\overline{\xi}(\sigma)^{-1} M(x,y,z)).$$

We have already observed (Remark 3.9) that for the specific cocycle $\overline{\xi}$ we are working with we have $Q(\overline{\xi}(\sigma)(x,y,z)) = Q(x,y,z)$, so we also have $Q(\overline{\xi}(\sigma)^{-1}(x,y,z)) = Q(x,y,z)$ and we deduce from the previous formula that ${}^{\sigma}(Q(M(x,y,z))) = Q(M(x,y,z))$ as claimed. □

Lemma 3.12. *For every $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ there exist a unique scalar $a_\sigma \in \overline{k}$ and a unique homogeneous polynomial $p_\sigma \in \overline{k}[x,y,z]$ such that ${}^{\sigma}(f(M(x,y,z)) = a_\sigma f(M(x,y,z)) + (p_\sigma \cdot Q)(M(x,y,z))$.*

Proof. As in the previous lemma, ${}^{\sigma}(f(M(x,y,z)) = f(\overline{\xi}(\sigma)^{-1} M(x,y,z))$. By assumption, there is a scalar λ such that $[x : y : z : t] \mapsto [\overline{\xi}(\sigma)^{-1}(x,y,z) : \lambda t]$ is an automorphism of C. This implies

$$(\lambda t)^2 - f(\overline{\xi}(\sigma)^{-1}(x,y,z)) = 0$$

identically on C, and therefore, on the level of defining equations, we have that the difference $f(\overline{\xi}(\sigma)^{-1}(x,y,z)) - (\lambda t)^2$ belongs to the homogeneous ideal defining C: concretely, this means that the equality

$$\begin{aligned} f(\overline{\xi}(\sigma)^{-1}(x,y,z)) - (\lambda t)^2 &= f(\overline{\xi}(\sigma)^{-1}(x,y,z)) - \lambda^2 f(x,y,z) \\ &= b_\sigma(x,y,z) f(x,y,z) + p_\sigma(x,y,z) Q(x,y,z) \end{aligned}$$

holds for some $b_\sigma(x,y,z), p_\sigma(x,y,z) \in \overline{k}[x,y,z]$. Comparing degrees shows that b_σ has degree 0, that is, it is a scalar in $\overline{k}$. Rearranging the previous identity then gives the claim, with $a_\sigma = \lambda^2 + b_\sigma$.

Uniqueness follows from the fact that the equality $a_\sigma f(M(x,y,z)) + (p_\sigma \cdot Q)(M(x,y,z)) = a'_\sigma f(M(x,y,z)) + (p'_\sigma \cdot Q)(M(x,y,z))$ implies that $Q(M(x,y,z))$ divides $(a_\sigma - a'_\sigma) f(M(x,y,z))$. Suppose $a_\sigma \neq a'_\sigma$: then (composing with M^{-1}) we find that f belongs to the ideal generated by Q. This is absurd, because then $f(x,y,z)$ would vanish identically on C, but we have $t^2 = f(x,y,z)$ and t does not vanish identically on C. This gives that a_σ is unique, hence p_σ is also unique. □

Lemma 3.13. *The scalars a_σ of the previous lemma are all nonzero.*

Proof. Suppose $a_\sigma = 0$ for some σ. Then

$$ {}^{\sigma}(f(M(x,y,z)) = a_\sigma f(M(x,y,z)) + (p_\sigma \cdot Q)(M(x,y,z)) = (p_\sigma \cdot Q)(M(x,y,z))$$

and applying σ^{-1}

$$f(M(x,y,z)) = {}^{\sigma^{-1}}(p_\sigma(M(x,y,z))) \cdot {}^{\sigma^{-1}}(Q(M(x,y,z))).$$

By Lemma 3.11 we have ${}^{\sigma^{-1}}(Q(M(x,y,z))) = Q(M(x,y,z))$, so we obtain

$$f(M(x,y,z)) = {}^{\sigma^{-1}}(p_\sigma(M(x,y,z))) \cdot Q(M(x,y,z));$$

as M is invertible, this implies $f(x,y,z) = {}^{\sigma^{-1}}(p_\sigma \circ M) \circ M^{-1}(x,y,z) \cdot Q(x,y,z)$, that is, $f(x,y,z)$ belongs to the ideal generated by Q. As in the previous lemma, this gives a contradiction. □

Lemma 3.14. *The association $\sigma \mapsto a_\sigma$ is a (continuous) cocycle of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ with values in $\overline{k}^\times$.*

Proof. Continuity is obvious, since by construction all the a_σ lie in a finite extension of k (this follows from the fact that $\overline{\xi}$ is continuous). To show that $\sigma \mapsto a_\sigma$ is a cocycle we work in the vector space $V = \overline{k}[x,y,z]_{\mathrm{hom}}/(Q \circ M)$, where $\overline{k}[x,y,z]_{\mathrm{hom}}$ is the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $g+1$ (if g is odd), respectively $g+2$ (if g is even), see Lemma 2.4. Here by $(Q \circ M)$ we mean the homogeneous ideal consisting of the homogeneous polynomials of the appropriate degree that are divisible by $Q \circ M$. In the vector space V, the equality ${}^\sigma(f(M(x,y,z)) = a_\sigma f(M(x,y,z)) + (p_\sigma \cdot Q)(M(x,y,z))$ simply becomes ${}^\sigma(f(M(x,y,z)) = a_\sigma f(M(x,y,z))$; notice that since $Q \circ M$ has coefficients in k there is a well-defined G_k-action on V. Applying $\sigma\tau$ to $f \circ M$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\sigma\tau} f(M(x,y,z)) &= {}^{\sigma\tau}(f(M(x,y,z)) \\ &= {}^{\sigma}({}^{\tau}(f(M(x,y,z))) \\ &= {}^{\sigma}(a_\tau f(M(x,y,z))) \\ &= {}^{\sigma}a_\tau a_\sigma f(M(x,y,z)) \end{aligned}$$

and since $a_\sigma, {}^\sigma a_\tau$ commute we get $a_{\sigma\tau} = a_\sigma \cdot {}^\sigma a_\tau$, that is, $\sigma \mapsto a_\sigma$ is a cocycle. □

Let now $v \in \overline{k}^\times$ be such that $a_\sigma = v/{}^\sigma v$. Such a v can again be computed explicitly thanks to the effective form of Hilbert's theorem 90.

Lemma 3.15. *There exists $v \in \overline{k}^\times$ with the following property. For each σ, there exists a homogeneous polynomial $q_\sigma \in \overline{k}[x,y,z]$ such that ${}^\sigma(vf(M(x,y,z))) = vf(M(x,y,z)) + (q_\sigma Q)(M(x,y,z))$.*

Proof. This is just a restatement of the previous lemmas. □

Lemma 3.16. *The curve*

$$C_0 : \begin{cases} t^2 = g(x,y,z) := \frac{1}{[L:k]} \operatorname{tr}_{L/k}(vf(M(x,y,z))) \\ Q'(x,y,z) := Q(M(x,y,z)) = 0 \end{cases}$$

is a k-twist of C. An isomorphism $\psi_0 : C_0 \to C$ is given by $(x,y,z,t) \mapsto (M(x,y,z), t/\sqrt{v})$. For all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$, the automorphisms $\psi_0 \circ {}^\sigma\psi_0^{-1}$ and $\xi(\sigma)$ of C differ at most by the hyperelliptic involution.

Proof. We have to show that the coefficients of the equations defining C_0 are in k, that ψ_0 is indeed a $\overline{k}$-isomorphism between C_0 and C, and that ψ_0 realizes ξ up to the hyperelliptic involution.

- *k-rationality.* Notice that $g(x,y,z)$ has coefficients in k by definition. The k-rationality of $Q'(x,y,z)$ was proved in Lemma 3.11.

- *The isomorphism ψ_0 is well-defined.* Since M is invertible, ψ_0 is clearly invertible, so we only need to show that ψ_0 maps C_0 to C. Replacing into the defining equations, we need to show that if (x, y, z, t) is a point on C_0, then we have

$$\begin{cases} (t/\sqrt{v})^2 = f(M(x,y,z)) \\ 0 = Q(M(x,y,z)) \end{cases} .$$

The second equation is satisfied, because it is equivalent to $Q'(x, y, z) = 0$, which is true by assumption since (x, y, z, t) is a point on C_0. As for the first, the fact that (x, y, z, t) is a point of C_0 implies $t^2 = \frac{1}{[L:k]} \operatorname{tr}_{L/k} vf(M(x, y, z))$, so we need to show that

$$v[L : k] \cdot f(M(x, y, z)) = \operatorname{tr}_{L/k} (vf(M(x, y, z))) .$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}_{L/k} (vf(M(x,y,z))) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/k)} {}^{\sigma}(vf(M(x,y,z))) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/k)} (vf + q_\sigma \cdot Q)(M(x,y,z)) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/k)} vf(M(x,y,z)) + q_\sigma(M(x,y,z)) \cdot Q'(x,y,z) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/k)} vf(M(x,y,z)) = v[L:k] \cdot f(M(x,y,z)) \end{aligned}$$

where the next-to-last equality comes from the assumption $Q'(x, y, z) = 0$ and the polynomials $q_\sigma(x, y, z)$ are defined in Lemma 3.15.

- *The isomorphism ψ_0 realizes ξ up to the hyperelliptic involution.* We compute $\psi_0 \cdot {}^{\sigma}\psi_0^{-1}$ explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 \circ {}^{\sigma}\psi_0^{-1}(x,y,z,t) &= \psi_0 \circ {}^{\sigma}\left(M^{-1}(x,y,z), t/\sqrt{v}\right) \\ &= \psi_0 \left({}^{\sigma}M^{-1}(x,y,z), t/{}^{\sigma}(\sqrt{v})\right) \\ &= \psi_0 \left(M^{-1}\overline{\xi}(\sigma)(x,y,z), t/{}^{\sigma}(\sqrt{v})\right) \\ &= \left(\overline{\xi}(\sigma)(x,y,z), \frac{\sqrt{v}}{{}^{\sigma}(\sqrt{v})} t\right) \end{aligned}$$

This is an automorphism of C and it acts on x, y, z as $\xi(\sigma)$ does – equivalently, it induces $\overline{\xi}(\sigma)$ on $C/\langle\iota\rangle$. Two automorphisms of C whose actions on $C/\langle\iota\rangle$ coincide differ at most by the hyperelliptic involution.

□

Remark 3.17. Beware: the isomorphism ψ_0 is not defined over L in general, but only over its quadratic extension $L(\sqrt{v})$. This is not a problem for our method, essentially because the ambiguity that arises in defining $\sqrt{v}$ affects the twist only through the hyperelliptic involution.

3.3 Completing the proof of Theorem 3.2

In view of Lemma 3.16, we can define a new cocycle $\xi_0(\sigma) := (\psi_0) \circ {}^{\sigma}\psi_0^{-1}$, which differs from $\xi(\sigma)$ at most by the hyperelliptic involution. Define $i : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to \langle\iota\rangle$ by the prescription

$$\xi_0(\sigma) = \xi(\sigma) i(\sigma).$$

Lemma 3.18. *The map i is a group homomorphism. By Galois correspondence, its kernel defines an at most quadratic extension $k(\sqrt{e})$ of k.*

Proof. Since ξ and ξ_0 are both cocycles, so is $\sigma \mapsto i(\sigma) = \xi(\sigma)^{-1}\xi_0(\sigma) \in \langle\iota\rangle$. Since the Galois action on $\langle\iota\rangle$ is trivial, a cocycle is the same thing as a group homomorphism. The second statement follows from the fact that the image of i has order at most 2. □

Theorem 3.19. *(Restatement of Theorem 3.2) Let e be as in the previous lemma. The curve*

$$C' : \begin{cases} et^2 = g(x) \\ Q(M(x,y,z)) = 0 \end{cases}$$

is the k-twist of C corresponding to the cocycle ξ. The map $\phi : C' \to C$ that sends (x,y,z,t) to $(M(x,y,z), \sqrt{e/v}t)$ realizes the cocycle ξ.

Proof. We have $\phi = \psi_0 \circ \psi_1$, where ψ_1 is the isomorphism $C' \to C_0$ given by $\psi_1(x,y,z,t) = (x,y,z,\sqrt{e}t)$. It is clear that C' and C_0 are isomorphic through ψ_1, so (in view of Lemma 3.16) C' is a k-twist of C. It remains to show that ϕ realizes the cocycle ξ. Notice that by construction one has $\psi_1 \circ {}^{\sigma}\psi_1^{-1} = i(\sigma)$, where i is the homomorphism of the previous lemma. Further observing that the image of i is contained in $\langle\iota\rangle$, hence it is central in $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \circ {}^{\sigma}\phi^{-1} &= \psi_0\psi_1 \circ {}^{\sigma}(\psi_0\psi_1)^{-1} \\ &= \psi_0 \circ \psi_1 \circ {}^{\sigma}\psi_1^{-1} \circ {}^{\sigma}\psi_0^{-1} \\ &= \psi_0 \circ i(\sigma) \circ {}^{\sigma}\psi_0^{-1} \\ &= \psi_0 \circ {}^{\sigma}\psi_0^{-1} \circ i(\sigma) \\ &= \xi_0(\sigma) \circ i(\sigma) \\ &= \xi_0(\sigma) \circ i(\sigma)^{-1} = \xi(\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

where $i(\sigma) = i(\sigma)^{-1}$ since ι is of order 2. This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 3.20. Since the cocycle ξ is continuous, it factors through a finite extension L and we get a map $\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(L/k) \to \mathrm{Aut}_L(C)$. The map i factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(L'/k)$, where L' is the Galois closure of $L(\sqrt{v})$ (and in particular is still of finite degree over k). We can then easily compute the value of the morphism $i : \mathrm{Gal}(L'/k) \to \langle\iota\rangle$ on any element of $\mathrm{Gal}(L'/k)$, and therefore determine $\ker i$.

Remark 3.21. Given any two conic sections $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ over a number field k, Lemma 2.11 says that there exists a quadratic extension of k over which $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ become isomorphic. Thus it may sound surprising that computing twists of conics is essentially enough to compute twists of arbitrary hyperelliptic curves, given that for hyperelliptic curves the minimal field trivializing the twist can have arbitrarily high degree. Notice however that in order to apply the method described in this section we require a twist realizing not a cohomology class, but a specific *cocycle*. This cocycle can contain much more information than the corresponding cohomology class, and it is this information that we exploit in computing the twist of the hyperelliptic curve.

4 Examples

In this section we explicitly compute some twists of hyperelliptic curves, highlighting the different pathologies that can happen.

4.1 Example 1

Consider the curve $C : y^2 = x(x^6 + 3x^4 + 1)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The geometric automorphism group of C is cyclic of order 4, generated by $\alpha : (x, y) \mapsto (-x, iy)$. Since the automorphism $i \mapsto -i$ maps α to α^{-1}, we can define a cocycle $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ by sending the generator τ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})$ to α. Let us compute the twist of C corresponding to this cocycle.

Steps 1. **and** 2. produce the model

$$\begin{cases} t^2 = f(X, Y, Z) := X^3Z + 3X^2YZ + Y^3Z \\ Z^2 = XY \end{cases}.$$

Steps 3 **and** 4. The 2×2 matrix representing α in the hyperelliptic model is $\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_8 & 0 \\ 0 & -\zeta_8 \end{pmatrix}$. Applying the embedding of Remark 3.7 we obtain that the corresponding element in $\mathrm{GL}_3(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is simply $\overline{\xi}(\tau) := \mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1)$. Notice that this matrix has order 2 while α has order 4, but this is to be expected: our embedding only considers the automorphism induced on $C/\langle\iota\rangle$, and α squares to the hyperelliptic involution, which is trivial on $C/\langle\iota\rangle$.

Step 5. We find $M = \mathrm{diag}(i, i, 1)$.

Step 6. We compute $(Z^2 - XY)(M(X, Y, Z)) = (Z^2 - XY)(iX, iY, Z) = Z^2 + XY$ and

$$f(M(X, Y, Z)) = f(iX, iY, Z) \quad = -if(X, Y, Z);$$

it follows that ${}^\tau(f(M(X, Y, Z)) = -f(M(X, Y, Z))$ so that (a_σ) is the cocycle mapping $\tau \mapsto -1$ (in particular, a_σ is not the trivial cocycle). We can then take $v = i$, and $vf(M(X, Y, Z)) = f(X, Y, Z)$.

Step 7. The intermediate curve C_0 is

$$C_0 : \begin{cases} t^2 = f(X, Y, Z) \\ Z^2 = -XY \end{cases}$$

and the isomorphism ψ_0 is given by $\psi_0(X, Y, Z, t) = (iX, iY, Z, t/\zeta_8)$. Notice the factor of ζ_8 in the last entry, coming from $\sqrt{v}$: as discussed in Remark 3.20, we are forced to temporarily work over an extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ strictly larger than the one through which the original cocycle factors.

Step 8. Let σ_i, for $i = 1, 3, 5, 7$, be the automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)/\mathbb{Q}$ which sends ζ_8 to ζ_8^i. We have that σ_1, σ_5 map to the identity in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})$, while σ_3, σ_7 map to τ. One checks that the homomorphism i of Lemma 3.18 is trivial on σ_1, σ_3 and nontrivial on σ_5, σ_7. This means that we can take $e = -2$, because $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ is the fixed field of σ_3. Finally, the curve we are looking for is

$$C' : \begin{cases} -2t^2 = f(X, Y, Z) \\ Z^2 = -XY \end{cases}$$

and an isomorphism realizing the cocycle ξ is $\phi : (X, Y, Z, t) \mapsto (iX, iY, Z, (1 + i)t)$. In the last step we have computed $\sqrt{v/e} = \sqrt{-2/i} = \pm(1 + i)$, where the sign is not important (since it corresponds to composing with the hyperelliptic involution, see Remark 1.3).

4.2 Example 2

Consider the curve $C : v^2 = u^8 + 14u^4 + 1$ /$\mathbb{Q}$ and the cocycle $\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ which factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})$ and sends the generator τ of this group to the automorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \alpha : & C & \to & C \\ & (u, v) & \mapsto & \left(-\frac{1}{u}, -\frac{v}{u^4}\right) \end{array}.$$

The matrix representation of α is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}(i))/\{\pm 1\}$. We can check that the cocycle ξ does not lift to a cocycle with values in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}(i))$: indeed, any such lift should send τ to $A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}(i))$ with $A = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and the cocycle condition reads $\mathrm{Id} = A \cdot {}^{\tau}A = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\mathrm{Id}$, which is clearly impossible. In particular, we cannot just lift the cocycle to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}(i))$ and use Hilbert's theorem 90 for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}(i))$ (see Remark 3.10). We now describe the computation of the twist of C corresponding to ξ using our algorithm.

Steps 1 and 2. We obtain the model

$$C : \begin{cases} t^2 = x^4 + 14x^2y^2 + y^4 \\ xy = z^2 \end{cases}$$

Steps 3 and 4. In these coordinates α becomes $[x : y : z : t] \mapsto [-y : -x : z : -t]$, and we have a cocycle with values in $\mathrm{Aut}(C/\langle\iota\rangle)$ given by $\tau \mapsto \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right]$. Applying our canonical lifting (Remark 3.7) we obtain a cocycle with values in $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Q}(i))$ which sends τ to the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Steps 5, 6, 7. An application of the explicit version of Hilbert's theorem 90 (Equation (8) with $M_0 = (1+i)\,\mathrm{Id}$) gives that a possible choice of M is $\begin{pmatrix} 1+i & 1-i & 0 \\ 1-i & 1+i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2i \end{pmatrix}$. We have $v = 1$. Setting $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$ and replacing in the equations of C we find that t, X, Y, Z satisfy

$$C_0 : \begin{cases} X^2 + Y^2 + 2Z^2 = 0 \\ t^2 = 48X^4 + 160X^2Y^2 + 48Y^4 \end{cases}.$$

Step 8. The isomorphism $\varphi : [x : y : z : t] \mapsto [M(x, y, z), t]$ acts trivially on t, so we have $\varphi^{-1} \circ {}^{\sigma}\varphi(t) = t$, while $\xi_\tau(t) = -t$. From this one sees immediately that the twist of C corresponding to ξ is

$$C' : \begin{cases} X^2 + Y^2 + 2Z^2 = 0 \\ -T^2 = 48X^4 + 160X^2Y^2 + 48Y^4 \end{cases}$$

It is also easy to check that the change of variables $A = X - Y, B = X + Y, C = 2Z, D = T/4$ leads to the more symmetrical model $\begin{cases} A^2 + B^2 + C^2 = 0 \\ -2D^2 = A^4 + B^4 + C^4 \end{cases}$, which agrees with the result found by a different method in [1].

4.3 Example 3

Consider the hyperelliptic curve $C : y^2 = x(x^{10}+11x^5-1)$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Up to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isomorphism, it is the unique hyperelliptic curve of genus 5 whose geometric automorphism group is isomorphic to $A_5 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (this is a classical fact, see for example [4, Lemma 5.4.3]). One checks easily with

MAGMA that all the automorphisms of C are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10})$; let σ be the generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10})/\mathbb{Q})$ which acts on ζ_{10} as $\zeta_{10} \mapsto \zeta_{10}^3$. We consider the automorphism of C given by

$$\alpha_1 : (x, y) \mapsto \left(\frac{x + (\zeta_{10} - 1)}{\zeta_{10}(1 - \zeta_{10})x - \zeta_{10}^2}, (-20\zeta_{10}^2 + 35\zeta_{10} - 20)\frac{y}{(\zeta_{10}(1 - \zeta_{10})x - \zeta_{10}^2)^6} \right).$$

Set $\alpha_3 := {}^{\sigma}\alpha_1, \alpha_9 := {}^{\sigma^2}\alpha_1$, and $\alpha_7 := {}^{\sigma^3}\alpha_1$ (the names come from the fact that σ, resp. σ^2, σ^3, send ζ_{10} to ζ_{10}^3, resp. $\zeta_{10}^9, \zeta_{10}^7$). One may check that $\alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_9\alpha_7$ is the identity, hence there is a unique cocycle $\xi : \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10})/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ such that $\xi(\sigma) = \alpha_1$.

Steps 1. and 2. A model as in 3 is easily found using the recipe of Remark 2.4:

$$\begin{cases} t^2 = f(X, Y, Z) = ZX^5 + 11Z^6 - ZY^5 \\ Z^2 = XY \end{cases}$$

Steps 3. and 4. The automorphism of $C/\langle\iota\rangle$ induced by α is represented by the class of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \zeta_{10} - 1 \\ \zeta_{10}(1 - \zeta_{10}) & -\zeta_{10}^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Applying the lifting of Remark 3.7, we find that the cocycle $\overline{\xi}$ sends σ to

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (\zeta_{10} - 1)^2 & 2(\zeta_{10} - 1) \\ \zeta_{10}^2(1 - \zeta_{10})^2 & \zeta_{10}^4 & -2\zeta_{10}^3(1 - \zeta_{10}) \\ \zeta_{10}(1 - \zeta_{10}) & -\zeta_{10}^2(\zeta_{10} - 1) & -\zeta_{10}^3 + \zeta_{10}^2 - \zeta_{10} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda = \frac{3\zeta_{10}^3 + \zeta_{10} - 1}{5}$.

Step 5. An application of Hilbert's theorem 90 gives the matrix

$$M = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{10}^3 + 3\zeta_{10}^2 - 2\zeta_{10} + 6 & 2\zeta_{10}^3 - 4\zeta_{10}^2 + \zeta_{10} + 2 & -8\zeta_{10}^3 + 6\zeta_{10}^2 - 4\zeta_{10} + 2 \\ -\zeta_{10}^3 - 3\zeta_{10}^2 + 2\zeta_{10} + 4 & 3\zeta_{10}^3 - \zeta_{10}^2 - \zeta_{10} + 3 & -2\zeta_{10}^3 + 4\zeta_{10}^2 + 4\zeta_{10} - 2 \\ 3\zeta_{10}^3 - \zeta_{10}^2 + 4\zeta_{10} - 2 & 6\zeta_{10}^3 - 2\zeta_{10}^2 + 8\zeta_{10} - 4 & -4\zeta_{10}^3 - 2\zeta_{10}^2 - 2\zeta_{10} + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and one can check directly that $f(M(X, Y, Z))$ does not have rational coefficients, not even after rescaling by a constant, so that taking the trace in step 7 of Algorithm 3.1 is really necessary. In fact, one has $f(M(X, Y, Z)) = f(X, Y, Z) + (qQ)(M(X, Y, Z))$, where $q \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{10})[X, Y, Z]$ is nonzero and $Q(X, Y, Z) = Z^2 - XY$. Applying σ to this equality shows that the polynomials q_σ introduced in Lemma 3.15 can be nontrivial.

Steps 6, 7, 8. Thanks to the equality $f(M(X, Y, Z)) = f(X, Y, Z) + (qQ)(M(X, Y, Z))$ we have that the cocycle a_σ is trivial. Performing the last two steps of the algorithm shows that a model of the twist of C corresponding to ξ is

$$C' : \begin{cases} 2X^2 + 3XY - 2XZ + 3Y^2 - 4YZ + 3Z^2 = 0 \\ t^2 = 1875/32XY^5 - 3125/16XY^4Z + 625/2XY^3Z^2 - 1875/4XY^2Z^3 \\ \qquad + 3125/8XYZ^4 - 625/4XZ^5 + 3125/32Y^6 - 3125/8Y^5Z + 24375/32Y^4Z^2 \\ \qquad - 1875/2Y^3Z^3 + 11875/16Y^2Z^4 - 625/2YZ^5 + 625/8Z^6 \end{cases}$$

The whole computation, performed in MAGMA, takes just a fraction of a second (the code is available from the authors' webpages).

Remark 4.1. It is interesting to observe that C' admits 12 automorphisms defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, whereas C only has 4. Twists of curves with many automorphisms defined over the ground field are useful in computing Sato-Tate groups and distributions, see [1, Section 4], [5], [11, Chapter 4].

5 The twists of $y^2 = x^8 - 14x^4 + 1$

The curve $C: y^2 = x^8 - 14x^4 + 1$ is special in its being – up to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-isomorphism – the only genus 3 hyperelliptic curve whose (geometric) group of automorphisms is isomorphic to $S_4 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, with the direct factor $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to the hyperelliptic involution (for these statements see [8, Figure 1] and the references therein). In [1] a twist of this curve is determined that has all its automorphisms defined over $\mathbb{Q}$: up to a further quadratic twist, a particularly symmetric model of this "optimal" twist is given by

$$C: \quad \begin{cases} t^2 = a^4 + b^4 + c^4 \\ 0 = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 \end{cases}$$

sitting in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}^3(1,1,1,2)$, where the variable t has weight 2 and a, b, c all have weight 1 (see also the example in Section 4.2).

Definition 5.1. *(Definition 4.7 in [12]) We define the set $\mathrm{Pol}_3^4(k)$ to be the sets separable polynomials of degree 3 with coefficients in k and whose constant coefficient is of the form $-A^4$ for some $A \in k^*$. We define the equivalence relation given by $P(T) \simeq P'(T)$ if and only if they have the same splitting field M and their roots satisfy*

$$\mathrm{Roots}(P(T)) \equiv \mathrm{Roots}(P'(T)) \bmod M^{*4}.$$

Theorem 5.2. *The twists of the hyperelliptic curve C are in one to one correspondence with the set $\mathrm{Pol}_3^4(k) \times k^*/k^{2*}$. Given a polynomial with roots α, β, γ and $e \in k^*/k^{2*}$, we have the twists*

$$C': \quad \begin{cases} et^2 = \alpha^2(x + \alpha y + \alpha^2 z)^4 + \beta^2(x + \beta y + \beta^2 z)^4 + \gamma^2(x + \gamma y + \gamma^2 z)^4 \\ \alpha(x + \alpha y + \alpha^2 z)^2 + \beta(x + \beta y + \beta^2 z)^2 + \gamma(x + \gamma y + \gamma^2 z)^2 = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ we have

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), \mathrm{Aut}(C)) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), \mathrm{Aut}(C)).$$

On the other hand, $\mathrm{Aut}(C) = S_4 \times \langle \iota \rangle$, so we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), \mathrm{Aut}(C)) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), \mathrm{Aut}(C)/\langle \iota \rangle) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) =$$

$$= \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), S_4) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

The second set gives the quadratic twists associated to the hyperelliptic involution while the first set was already computed in [11, Proposition 3.2.11] or [12, Proposition 5.8]. □

References

[1] S. Arora, V. Cantoral-Farfán, A. Landesman, D. Lombardo, and J. S. Morrow. The twisting Sato-Tate group of the curve $y^2 = x^8 - 14x^4 + 1$. *ArXiv e-prints*, Aug. 2016.

[2] N. Bruin, J. Fernández, J. González, and J.-C. Lario. Rational points on twists of $X_0(63)$. *Acta Arith.*, 126:361–385, 2007.

[3] G. Cardona. *Models Racionals de Corbes de Genere 2*. PhD thesis, Universitat Politècnica de Cataluña, 2001.

[4] I. Cheltsov and C. Shramov. *Cremona groups and the icosahedron*. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.

[5] F. Fité, E. Lorenzo García, and A. V. Sutherland. Sato-Tate distributions of twists of the Fermat and Klein quartics. Preprint.

[6] F. Fité and A. V. Sutherland. Sato-Tate distributions of twists of $y^2 = x^5 - x$ and $y^2 = x^6 + 1$. *Algebra Number Theory*, 8(3):543–585, 2014.

[7] B. S. Huggins. *Fields of moduli and fields of definition of curves*. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2005.

[8] R. Lercier and C. Ritzenthaler. Hyperelliptic curves and their invariants: geometric, arithmetic and algorithmic aspects. *J. Algebra*, 372:595–636, 2012.

[9] R. Lercier, C. Ritzenthaler, and J. Sijsling. Explicit galois obstruction and descent for hyperelliptic curves with tamely cyclic reduced automorphism group. *Math. Comp*, To appear.

[10] E. Lorenzo García. Twists of non-hyperelliptic curves. To appear in Revista Matemática Iberoamericana.

[11] E. Lorenzo García. *Arithmetic properties of non-hyperelliptic genus* 3 *curves*. PhD thesis, Universitat Politècnica de Cataluña, 2014.

[12] E. Lorenzo García. Twists of non-hyperelliptic genus 3 curves. *ArXiv e-prints*, Apr. 2016.

[13] J.-F. Mestre. Construction de courbes de genre 2 à partir de leurs modules. In *Effective methods in algebraic geometry (Castiglioncello, 1990)*, volume 94 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 313–334. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1991.

[14] B. Poonen, F. Schaefer, and M. Stoll. Twists of $X(7)$ and primitive solutions to $x^2+y^3 = z^7$. *Duke Math.J.*, 137:103–158, 2007.

[15] J. H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009.